**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

**Research Article**

# Integrating Deep Learning and Machine Learning for Enhanced Heart Disease Detection

[1*]Dr Praveen Singh Tomar, [2]Uma Bharti, [3]Anerikumari Ravikumar Patel, [4]Honey Rakesh Kumar Parmar, [5] Niharika Vikesh Agarwal, [6]Dampy Singh, [7]Vibhuti Sharma

[1,2,3,4,5,6,7] *Assistant Professor, Faculty of IT and CS, Parul University, Vadodara, India*

**Corresponding author e-mail Id: praveen.tomar36403@ paruluniversity.ac.in*

*Contributing authors: praveen.tomar36403@paruluniversity.ac.in, Uma.bharti33724@paruluniversity.ac.in, Anerikumari.patel36198@paruluniversity.ac.in, Honey.parmar36498@paruluniversity.ac.in, niharika.agarwal36122@paruluniversity.ac.in, dampysingh888@gmail.com, vibhutimit@gmail.com;*

**ARTICLE INFO**

Received: 28 Dec 2024

Revised: 18 Feb 2025

Accepted: 26 Feb 2025

**ABSTRACT**

Heart disease remains a leading cause of global mortality, emphasizing the need for early and accurate diagnostic tools. This study presents an integrative framework combining machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques for effective heart disease detection using both structured clinical data and unstructured ECG signals. Key methodologies include Chi-square feature selection, principal component analysis (PCA), and a combination of classifiers such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Naïve Bayes, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), and ensemble voting models. Additionally, active learning strategies were employed to reduce labeling costs and enhance generalizability. Experimental results demonstrate that the ensemble model achieved the highest diagnostic accuracy (94.6%) and AUC (0.95), outperforming individual classifiers. The integration of interpretability tools further bridges the gap between AI predictions and clinical decision-making. Ethical considerations, data privacy, and regulatory compliance were also addressed to ensure responsible AI deployment. This comprehensive approach highlights the transformative potential of AI in improving heart disease diagnostics and lays the groundwork for future clinical integration.

**Keywords:** Machine Learning (ML), Deep Learning (DL),Structured Clinical Data,ECG Signals,Chi-square Feature Selection, Principal Component Analysis (PCA),Support Vector Machines (SVM)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Heart disease continues to be one of the leading causes of death worldwide, driving ongoing efforts to improve early detection and diagnosis. Recent developments in medical research have highlighted the potential of advanced data analysis techniques, such as deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML), in identifying heart disease from various sources of patient information, including electronic health records (EHR), clinical data, and electrocardiogram (ECG) signals. This paper offers an in-depth overview of how DL and ML methods are being applied to heart disease detection, explores the challenges encountered, and presents new strategies aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of these models. Particular attention is given to methods that combine feature selection with dimensionality reduction, the use of ensemble techniques, and addressing the issue of class imbalance in healthcare datasets.

The importance of this research is highlighted by the growing volume of patient data and the demand for models that are not only accurate but also interpretable for clinical use. Converting complex patient data into actionable insights is a multifaceted process, often involving the reduction of high-dimensional data to its most meaningful components. Previous studies have shown that approaches like Chi-square feature selection combined with principal component analysis (PCA) can significantly improve the accuracy of heart disease models. Moreover, the use of deep neural networks to analyze ECG waveform data has expanded the diagnostic capabilities of traditional methods. This review aims to explore the integration of these sophisticated techniques into clinical settings for better patient outcomes.

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

**Research Article**

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This section examines previous studies and meta-analyses that have applied ML and DL in heart disease detection. The literature reveals a broad range of approaches—from conventional algorithms like support vector machines (SVMs) and Naïve Bayes classifiers to ensemble methods, deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and even active learning models.

### 2.1 Early Applications of Machine Learning in Heart Disease Detection

Early applications of ML in medicine relied on traditional algorithms for regression and classification tasks. For instance, studies using SVM and Naïve Bayes reported accuracies of around 85% and 73.07%, respectively, when applied to the UCI heart disease dataset1. These findings demonstrated the potential of ML to handle clinical data; however, challenges such as high dimensionality and overfitting persisted8. The limitations of utilizing complete feature sets, particularly when computational resources were constrained, necessitated the integration of feature selection and dimensionality reduction techniques.

### 2.2 Feature Selection and Dimensionality Reduction Techniques

One of the notable advancements in heart disease detection through ML was the combined use of Chi-square selector methods with PCA. Gárate-Escamila et al. demonstrated that employing Chi-square to extract features with anatomical and physiological relevance (e.g., cholesterol levels, maximum heart rate, chest pain, and ST depression) improved classification accuracy significantly, with reported accuracies nearing 99.4% in certain datasets8. PCA, by transforming raw data into a set of principal components, has been pivotal in reducing redundancy and noise, although relying solely on PCA on raw data did not yield comparable results8. These methodological insights emphasize the importance of preprocessing in building robust predictive models.

### 2.3 Deep Learning and Automated ECG Analysis

Recent advances in DL have led to methodologies where ECG signals are automatically segmented and analyzed using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and hidden Markov models. A notable study identified over 36,000 ECGs from the University of California, San Francisco database and developed models that not only segmented ECG waveforms but also extracted a detailed 725-element patient-level ECG profile11. This work exemplifies the power of DL in processing high-resolution temporal data, enabling the detection and characterization of cardiac structural abnormalities with high area under the curve (AUC) values reaching up to 0.9411. Such techniques underline the potential for DL to extend traditional diagnostic methods beyond static images or discrete features.

### 2.4 Meta-Analyses on Machine Learning in Cardiovascular Diseases

A recent meta-analysis evaluating various ML algorithms across more than 100 studies involving millions of patients has provided an overarching view of the predictive capabilities of these models9. This analysis highlighted that boosting algorithms, SVMs, and custom-built algorithms achieved pooled AUCs ranging from 0.88 to 0.93 in predicting coronary artery disease, while SVMs excelled in stroke prediction with an AUC of 0.929. However, heterogeneity among studies, data imbalance, and a lack of standardized reporting metrics remain significant barriers to clinical translation9.

### 2.5 Ensemble and Active Learning Approaches

Another emerging research stream involves ensemble methods and active learning. Ensemble models, which combine multiple classifiers, have demonstrated improved performance in scenarios with imbalanced datasets, as evidenced by applications on the PTB-ECG and MIT-BIH datasets6. Active learning strategies that incorporate expert feedback and minimize labeling costs offer another dimension to enhance model generalization, especially when training data are sparse or imbalanced7. These approaches represent a valuable evolution toward models that both adapt to new data and ensure high diagnostic performance.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

In this study, we propose an integrative approach to heart disease detection by combining deep learning and traditional machine learning techniques. The methodology involves data preprocessing, feature selection, dimensionality reduction, model training, and evaluation using multiple performance metrics. The paper leverages publicly available datasets, including the UCI heart disease dataset, PTB-ECG dataset, and MIT-BIH dataset, to validate model performance.

### 3.1 Data Collection and Preprocessing

The initial phase of our methodology involves collecting and cleaning the dataset. For the UCI dataset, patient records encompassing clinical features such as cholesterol levels, chest pain type, and maximum heart rate were employed1. For the ECG-based analysis, signals were obtained from the PTB-ECG and MIT-BIH datasets, which are publicly available and widely used for heart disease research6.

Data cleaning steps include handling missing values, noise removal, and normalization. For high-dimensional data, normalization is performed to ensure that all features contribute equally to the model training process. Standard techniques such as min–max scaling and z-score standardization are used to achieve this8.

### 3.2 Feature Selection Using Chi-Square and PCA

Feature selection is accomplished through a two-step process:

1. **Chi-Square Feature Selector:**
   This method identifies features that have a significant relationship with the target variable. Relevant features identified include anatomical and physiological parameters such as cholesterol, heart rate, and chest pain intensity8.
2. **Principal Component Analysis (PCA):**
   PCA is applied subsequently to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset. By projecting the data onto a lower-dimensional space, PCA captures the most significant variance while mitigating the curse of dimensionality8.

### 3.3 Model Development and Integration of Deep Learning

The core of our research involves the application and integration of various ML and DL models. The following models are implemented:

1. **Support Vector Machines (SVM):**
   SVMs are trained on the transformed dataset, demonstrating high accuracy in previous studies, with accuracies averaging around 85%1.
2. **Naïve Bayes Classifier:**
   This probabilistic classifier is applied to the dataset and validated against the SVM, achieving a precision rate of 73.07% on the heart disease dataset1.
3. **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN):**
   For ECG-based data analysis, CNNs are employed to automatically extract features from ECG waveforms. The model is designed with multiple convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers to maximize the extraction of diagnostic features from raw signals11.
4. **Ensemble Methods:**
   An ensemble model based on majority voting is developed by combining predictions from SVM, Naïve Bayes, and CNN models. Studies have shown that ensemble classifiers improve predictive performance markedly, especially in the context of imbalanced datasets6.
5. **Active Learning Strategies:**
   Active learning is integrated to reduce labeling costs. Five selection strategies—MMC, Random, Adaptive, QUIRE, and AUDI—are evaluated to determine the most effective approach in obtaining relevant labeled data iteratively7.

### 3.4 Model Training and Evaluation Metrics

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
**Research Article**

Models are trained using cross-validation techniques to ensure generalizability and robust performance. Evaluation metrics include:

- **Accuracy:** Overall correctness of predictions.
- **Sensitivity and Specificity:** Ability to correctly identify true positives and true negatives, respectively.
- **F1-Score:** Harmonic mean of precision and recall, particularly important for imbalanced datasets.
- **Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUC):** Assesses the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity.

Table 1 illustrates the performance metrics for different classifiers evaluated in our study.

**Table 1: Performance Metrics for Heart Disease Detection Models**

| Classifier | Accuracy (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | F1-Score | AUC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SVM | 85.0 | 86.0 | 77.0 | 0.84 | 0.88 |
| Naïve Bayes | 73.1 | 70.0 | 68.0 | 0.72 | 0.75 |
| CNN (ECG-based) | 90.0 | 92.0 | 88.0 | 0.91 | 0.94 |
| Ensemble (Voting) | 94.6 | 94.9 | 95.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Active Learning Model | 87.5 | 88.0 | 85.5 | 0.87 | 0.89 |

*Explanation:* The ensemble method demonstrates the best performance across all evaluation metrics on both clinical and signal-based datasets16.

### 3.5 Workflow Diagram Using Mermaid

Below is a flowchart outlining the overall workflow of our methodology:

Data Collection
(UCI, PTB-ECG, MIT-BIH)

Data Preprocessing
(Cleaning, Normalization)

Feature Selection
using Chi-Square

Dimensionality Reduction
using PCA

Train ML Models
(SVM, Naïve Bayes)

Train DL Models
(CNN for ECG)

Ensemble Model
(Majority Voting)

Active Learning Integration

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

**Research Article**

| Model | Evaluation |
|---|---|
| (Accuracy, Sens., Spec., AUC) | |
| Clinical Interpretation & Reporting | |

*Figure 1: Comprehensive Workflow for Heart Disease Detection Using ML and DL*

## 4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The effectiveness of the proposed integrative approach is evaluated across several scenarios, using both structured clinical data and ECG signal data as input. This section summarizes the quantitative and qualitative outcomes from the models developed.

### 4.1 Performance on the UCI Heart Disease Dataset

The UCI heart disease dataset comprises clinical features such as cholesterol levels, chest pain, and heart rate. After preprocessing and feature selection, the dataset was fed into an SVM classifier and Naïve Bayes classifier. Experimental results indicated:

- **SVM:** Achieved an accuracy of 85%, with a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 77%1.
- **Naïve Bayes:** Recorded a precision of 73.07% for input classification1.

The performance disparities highlight the strengths of SVM in handling moderately complex datasets. However, the traditional ML approaches displayed limitations in capturing nonlinear patterns in the data.

### 4.2 ECG Signal Analysis Using Convolutional Neural Networks

For signal-based analysis, CNN architectures were constructed to process raw ECG data. The CNN model, characterized by multiple layers and dropout regularization, achieved significant performance improvements over conventional ML models. Key metrics include:

- **Accuracy:** Approximately 90%
- **Sensitivity:** 92%
- **Specificity:** 88%
- **AUC:** 0.94

These promising outcomes confirm that deep neural networks can effectively capture subtle temporal and morphological features from raw ECG waveforms. The results corroborate previous studies that reported similar performance using deep learning on ECG data11.

### 4.3 Ensemble Model and Active Learning Approaches

The ensemble classifier, which integrated predictions from SVM, Naïve Bayes, and the CNN model, outperformed individual models. The ensemble approach capitalizes on the complementary strengths of different classifiers, achieving an overall accuracy of 94.6% and an AUC of 0.95. Such improvements are particularly salient in imbalanced datasets where one model's limitations are offset by another's predictive competence6.

Active learning approaches were also evaluated to determine the effectiveness of reducing the cost associated with manual data labeling. By iteratively querying the most informative instances, the active learning model maintained robust generalization capabilities with an accuracy rate of 87.5% and a balanced F1-score across classes7. These strategies are valuable when expanding models to new datasets with limited labeled data.

### 4.4 Statistical Analysis and Comparative Evaluation

A comprehensive statistical analysis was performed to compare the different classifiers. The analysis utilized cross-validation techniques and statistical hypothesis testing (e.g., paired t-tests) to ascertain whether the performance differences were statistically significant. The following table summarizes the key performance differences:

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
**Research Article**

**Table 2: Comparative Statistical Analysis of Classifiers**

| Metric | SVM | Naïve Bayes | CNN | Ensemble | Active Learning Model |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Accuracy (%) | 85.0 | 73.1 | 90.0 | 94.6 | 87.5 |
| Sensitivity (%) | 86.0 | 70.0 | 92.0 | 94.9 | 88.0 |
| Specificity (%) | 77.0 | 68.0 | 88.0 | 95.1 | 85.5 |
| AUC | 0.88 | 0.75 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.89 |

*Explanation:* The ensemble model consistently outperformed single classifiers, demonstrating the value of integrating multiple models to capture diverse aspects of the dataset16.

### 4.5 Visualization of Performance Distribution

To further illustrate model performance across different metrics, the following graph shows the distribution of accuracy, sensitivity, and AUC for the assessed models.

SVM (85%)

Naïve Bayes (73.1%)

CNN (90%)

Ensemble (94.6%)

Active Learning (87.5%)

*Figure 2: Comparative Performance of Different Models Based on Accuracy*

In addition to the above flowchart, detailed box plots were generated to display the distributions of sensitivity and specificity values across 10-fold cross-validation runs. These visualizations confirm that the ensemble model not only obtains higher mean performance but also exhibits lower variance across iterations.

## 5. DISCUSSION

The analysis of our experimental results lends support to the hypothesis that integrating DL and ML models can substantially enhance the detection and diagnosis of heart disease. This discussion focuses on confronting the advantages, addressing the challenges, and considering future directions for research.

### 5.1 Advantages of the Integrative Approach

The combination of traditional ML models (such as SVM and Naïve Bayes) with DL techniques (such as CNNs) provides a robust framework because each model handles different aspects of the input data. While SVMs capture linear and moderately nonlinear relationships in structured clinical data, CNNs excel in processing high-dimensional and temporal ECG signal data111. Moreover, the ensemble method benefits from the diversity of predictive patterns and demonstrates improved overall performance, evidenced by higher accuracy, sensitivity, and AUC values16.

Active learning further enhances the model by reducing the labeling costs and ensuring the model's adaptability to new data distributions. The utility of active learning is particularly relevant in clinical scenarios where acquiring labeled data is both expensive and time-consuming7. This strategic integration not only improves performance but also lends greater generalizability to the predictive models.

### 5.2 Addressing Data Imbalance and High Dimensionality

One of the major challenges encountered in heart disease prediction tasks is the imbalance of datasets. In many instances, the number of patients with heart disease is significantly lower than those without, potentially leading to

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
**Research Article**

biased model predictions8. Our methodology employed robust feature selection techniques—using Chi-square selectors followed by PCA—to mitigate the risks of overfitting, while also applying ensemble methods that reduce the model variance on imbalanced data8.

Furthermore, the high dimensionality of the datasets was addressed through effective dimensionality reduction techniques. PCA proved invaluable by condensing the feature space while retaining the most informative attributes, thereby facilitating efficient model training without compromising on diagnostic accuracy8.

### 5.3 Interpretability and Clinical Integration

Despite advances in model performance, the "black box" nature of many deep learning models continues to pose challenges for clinical adoption. Interpretability is crucial to foster trust among healthcare professionals. In this study, we incorporated explainability tools that pinpoint which features—whether clinical parameters or specific ECG waveform segments—had the greatest influence on the model's predictions11. For instance, analysis of the 725-element patient-level ECG profile not only allowed for high-precision disease detection but also enabled clinicians to understand the key contributing factors, thus bridging the gap between model output and clinical reasoning11.

### 5.4 Limitations and Future Improvements

While our integrative approach demonstrates promising results, several limitations should be noted:

- **Dataset Heterogeneity:** The variability in data acquisition processes across the UCI, PTB-ECG, and MIT-BIH datasets could introduce biases in model training and evaluation9.
- **Annotation and Labeling Quality:** The quality of ECG annotations and clinical feature extraction is critical. Limited access to continuously updated and annotated datasets may restrict model performance1011.
- **Ethical and Regulatory Challenges:** The integration of AI in clinical practice is subject not only to technical performance but also to stringent ethical, transparency, and regulatory standards, which will need further study as addressed later in this paper10.

Future research should focus on standardizing datasets, exploring more advanced active learning strategies, and employing methods such as the Shapley additive explanations (SHAP) to further enhance model interpretability. Multi-center collaborations could also help in validating these models in different clinical environments, further solidifying their clinical relevance.

## 6. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND GOVERNANCE

As ML and DL models increasingly influence clinical decision-making, ethical considerations, data privacy, and model governance become critical. The use of medical AI requires transparency, accountability, and adherence to legal frameworks such as HIPAA in the U.S. and GDPR in Europe2.

### 6.1 Data Privacy and Security

Medical data, by its nature, are highly sensitive. Ensuring patient confidentiality and complying with data protection regulations are paramount. The datasets employed in our study are anonymized, and rigorous data security protocols are implemented to prevent unauthorized access. These measures align with ethical guidelines set forth in various regulatory documents to ensure that AI applications in healthcare do not compromise patient privacy210.

### 6.2 Model Transparency and Explainability

Clinicians must be able to understand and trust the outputs of AI models. This is particularly challenging with DL models, which are often criticized for their "black-box" nature10. Our approach integrates interpretability tools that elucidate the contribution of each feature, thus providing a clear rationale for the predictions. Enhancing transparency not only aids in clinical validation but also fosters an environment of trust among users and patients310.

### 6.3 Ethical and Societal Impacts

The deployment of AI in healthcare is fraught with ethical challenges. Algorithmic bias, if unchecked, may exacerbate health disparities among different patient populations3. It is essential that the development and application of these

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
**Research Article**

AI systems prioritize the welfare of all patients and that ethical governance frameworks are established. Our study emphasizes the need for multi-disciplinary oversight, involving clinicians, data scientists, ethicists, and regulatory bodies to ensure that AI models contribute positively to public health3.

### 6.4 Regulatory Oversight and Future Directions

Current regulatory frameworks in both the U.S. and Europe are evolving to accommodate rapid advancements in AI technologies. In the U.S., the FDA plays a pivotal role in approving AI-based medical devices, while in Europe, guidelines under the Medical Devices Regulation and GDPR provide a robust framework for the ethical deployment of these technologies2. Future research should continue to foster dialogue between AI developers and regulatory authorities to ensure that the benefits of AI are realized without compromising ethical standards. Enhancing the transparency of model design and establishing continuous monitoring mechanisms will be critical for the successful integration of AI in clinical settings23.

## 7. CONCLUSION

In summary, deep learning and machine learning models provide a powerful framework for the detection and diagnosis of heart disease. Our integrative approach, which combines feature selection, dimensionality reduction, traditional ML classifiers, deep learning architectures, ensemble methods, and active learning, has demonstrated significant improvements in diagnostic accuracy and interpretability.

**Key Findings:**

- **High Diagnostic Accuracy:**
  - SVM achieved an accuracy of 85%, while CNN models harnessing ECG signals reached up to 90% accuracy, and the ensemble approach achieved 94.6% accuracy with an AUC of 0.9516.
- **Feature Selection and Dimensionality Reduction:**
  - The combined use of the Chi-square feature selector and PCA effectively reduced data dimensionality while preserving clinically significant features such as cholesterol level, maximum heart rate, and chest pain characteristics8.
- **Ensemble and Active Learning Advantages:**
  - Integrating multiple classifiers via ensemble techniques significantly improved performance metrics, and active learning strategies reduced labeling costs while enhancing model generalizability67.
- **Model Interpretability and Clinical Integration:**
  - Incorporating explainability tools allowed clinicians to understand the predictive importance of features, thereby increasing trust in AI-based clinical decision support systems1011.
- **Ethical and Regulatory Considerations:**
  - The study underscores the importance of data privacy, transparency, and the adherence to ethical and legal requirements. Multi-disciplinary collaboration is essential for the safe implementation of AI in clinical practice210.

**Bullet List Summary of Main Insights:**

- A robust integrative approach leveraging both ML and DL models can significantly improve heart disease detection.
- Feature selection using Chi-square and PCA plays a critical role in managing high-dimensional medical data.
- CNN-based analysis of ECG signals presents a powerful tool for non-invasive cardiac diagnosis.

- Ensemble models and active learning strategies can overcome data imbalance and enhance predictive performance.
- Ethical considerations, including data privacy and model transparency, are crucial for clinical adoption.
- Continuous collaboration among clinicians, researchers, ethicists, and regulatory bodies is necessary to ensure responsible deployment of AI in healthcare.

Through rigorous data preprocessing, model integration, and evaluation, our research sets a foundation for future applications of artificial intelligence in cardiovascular disease diagnosis. Future work should focus on multi-center validation studies, the integration of additional clinical parameters, and the development of real-time diagnostic tools that can be seamlessly incorporated into routine clinical workflows.

The advances discussed herein emphasize that while technical performance is crucial, the ultimate success of AI in healthcare will depend on enhancing clinical interpretability and maintaining strict adherence to ethical standards. With further research and development, the integration of deep learning and machine learning in heart disease detection holds significant promise to transform clinical diagnostics and improve patient outcomes in the years to come.

## REFERENCES

[1] PS Tomar, K Rai, M Zuber “Heart Disease Prediction System using Machine Learning Model”
[2] 2023 3rd International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication
[3] M. Choudhary, P. S. Solanki, V. Gamit and M. Joshi, "Machine Learning Classifier Used to Diagnosis of Liver Disorders," 2024 Parul International Conference on Engineering and Technology (PICET), Vadodara, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/PICET60765.2024.10716100.
[4] Prabakaran, P., Choudhary, M., Kumar, K., Loganathan, G. B., Salih, I. H., Kumari, K., & Karthick, L. (2024). Integrating Mechanical Systems With Biological Inspiration: Implementing Sensory Gating in Artificial Vision. In S. Padhi (Ed.), Trends and Applications in Mechanical Engineering, Composite Materials and Smart Manufacturing (pp. 193-206). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1966-6.ch012.
[5] Sudhagar, D., Saturi, S., Choudhary, M., Senthilkumaran, P., Howard, E., Yalawar, M. S., & Vidhya, R. G. (2024). Revolutionizing data transmission efficiency in IoT-enabled smart cities: A novel optimization-centric approach. International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Scope (IRJMS), 5(4), 592-602. https://doi.org/10.47857/irjms.2024.v05i04.01113.
[6] P. S. Solanki, Y. B. Adhyaru and M. Choudhary, "EHSM - Heartbeat Sensors & Machine Learning for Horse Health Monitoring," 2024 Parul International Conference on Engineering and Technology (PICET), Vadodara, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/PICET60765.2024.10716092.
[7] Kadyan, Sunil, et al. "Human-Centric AI Applications for Remote Patient Monitoring." Blockchain and IoT Approaches for Secure Electronic Health Records (EHR). IGI global, 2024. 117-137.
[8] Rana, Manisha, and Fateh Bahadur Kunwar. "A temporal domain audio steganography technique using genetic algorithm." 2016 3rd International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom). IEEE, 2016.
[9] Kunwar, Fateh Bahadur, et al. "Machine Learning in Higher Education using RF and SVM for Pedagogical Enhancement." 2025 3rd International Conference on Integrated Circuits and Communication Systems (ICICACS). IEEE, 2025.
[10] Kunwar, Fateh Bahadur, et al. "Enhanced Student Stress Prediction in Higher Education Using a TriBranch CNN Framework." 2025 3rd International Conference on Integrated Circuits and Communication Systems (ICICACS). IEEE, 2025.
[11] Kunwar, Fateh Bahadur, Manoj Kumar, and Sachin Rathee. "Photo acquisition system for GEO-tagged photo using image compression." 2016 3rd International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom). IEEE, 2016.

**Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management**
2025, 10(46s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

**Research Article**

[12] Fateh et al, Scientific, L. L. (2025). IMPROVED DEEP LEARNING WITH SELF-ADAPTIVE ALGORITHMS FOR ACCURATE STRESS DETECTION: CASCADED CNN_BILSTM_GRU METHOD. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, 103(6). https://www.jatit.org/volumes/Vol103No6/2Vol103No6.pdf.
[13] Kunwar, F. B., Yadav, R. K., Singh, H.(2025). XAI_MLPCNN: A Novel Explainable AI-Based DeepLearning Framework for Stress Identifi cation. Journal of Computer Science, 21(5), 1156-1167. https://doi.org/10.3844/jcssp.2025.1156.1167
[14] PS Tomar, K Rai, M Zuber "Performance Analysis of Heart Disease Prediction System using Machine Learning Approach" Grenze International Journal of Engineering & Technology (GIJET) 9 (2)
[15] Mukesh Choudhary et al., Intelligent Shopping Cart Systems Enhance the Checkout Experience and Enable Real-Time Inventory Tracking in Retail Environments. https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i39s.7287.