Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(63s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

Biometric In-Cabin UX: Predictive Passenger Well-being via Multimodal Affective AI

Kali Prasad Chiruvelli
Osmania University, India

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 03 Nov 2025

Revised: 15 Dec 2025

Accepted: 26 Dec 2025

ABSTRACT

Car manufacturers are encountering increasing difficulties in comprehending relevant occupant experiences with regard to advancing their vehicles towards being autonomous mobile environments. Conventional feedback systems involving surveys and post-trip questioning provide only hindsight insights into an individual's condition and neglect the dynamic momentary changes experienced during travel. A novel system is necessary to provide an ongoing biometric assessment and adaptive systems of intervention. Contactless sensors such as near-infrared cameras and thermal imaging systems measure biometric responses such as pupil reaction, facial expressions, and temperature changes without needing direct physical interface with car occupants. Machine learning algorithms interpret outputs from both biological responses as well as real-time driving factors such as acceleration and cornering forces to make predictive modifications of occupants' environment on an ongoing basis. Detecting predictive dissatisfaction indicators, such as heightened cognitive discomfort and initial stages of motion sickness, before a traveler's self-awareness of discomfort is necessary, is another function of this novel system that requires automatic adjustments of ambient lighting patterns, aural surroundings, and visual output levels to car occupants on an automatic basis. This novel system helps overcome trust issues on systems of autonomous driving of cars by ensuring validation of car occupants' status on an objective basis rather than a subjective basis of trust assumptions. Building a cognitive interactive interface for control systems of a car and biologically interactive responses of an individual leads to designs of more optimized car environments that provide for sustained comfort and trust of car drivers during their travel experience through novel systems of autonomous car driving environments.

Keywords: Biometric Sensing, Affective Computing, Autonomous Vehicles, Passenger Well-being, Multimodal Sensor Fusion

1. Introduction

The car industry is now at a critical turning point, as car manufacturers begin to move away from traditional driving and focus on high levels of autonomy. A traditional method of trying to understand passenger experience relies entirely on surveying passengers after their journey, picking out isolated glimpses of the passenger experience instead. These methods of passive feedback do not allow the car industry or manufacturers to see the real-time fluctuations of emotional experience that exist and shape the passenger's feelings of security, comfort, and brand recognition. The car industry is, however, moving toward Level 3 and Level 4 autonomy. The passenger experience and the car industry will experience a radical change. The car will move from something requiring continuous human attention and monitoring into something that is essentially an environment on wheels, where mental states, stress, and comfort play an enormous role in determining overall brand recognition [7].

This paradigm shift requires the rethinking of the entire process through which manufacturers receive feedback from the occupants. In this proposed model, there is a proactive monitoring process that analyses the emotional as well as physiological conditions of the passengers all along the journey. This model does not wait for the passengers to mechanically adjust the climate control settings or complain about the conditions sensed afterward but smartly tracks early signs in the biological processes that show increased cognitive loads or starting motion-related comfort signs. This allows the vehicle to be in the state of Passenger Flow, where the conditions are constantly optimized to the individual's requirement [8].

1.1 Evolution of Automotive Cabin Design and Passenger Experience

The traditional designs of the automotive cabin mainly focused on functional needs such as visibility, ease of control operation, and safety features surrounding the driver and other occupants of the vehicle. In the early designs, the main element incorporated was the functioning of the car, and the secondary component would be the comfort of the occupants of the automobile, which was incorporated using basic elements of sitting and environmental control systems. This trend was experienced over the years as the innovation of the automobile was directed towards the efficiency of the engine, driving dynamics, and safety of the occupants of the automobile, while the experience of the individuals was mainly the result of passive elements of the automobile's interior, such as the material of the sitting space and the sound insulation of the automobile as well as manual controls of the environment of the automobile [5].

However, these principles are drastically changed when advancing to Levels 3 and 4 autonomy. In the context where the car takes the most responsibility for navigation, reaction to hazards, etc., the cabin suddenly becomes a living compartment that the users spend considerable time in, where there are no active driving operations involved. In this case, the users of autonomous cars face challenges that may include motion sickness, concerns due to limited understanding of the conditions, as well as confusion related to the use of the operating modes [5].

These modern car manufacturers are aware of these future demands, but in most cases, these are still within the bounds of reactive adaptation. The latest high-end cars generally include complex climate zones, massaging seats, and ambient illumination control, but these still need manual user input or constant pre-set user preference settings. These characteristics lack any awareness of real-time passenger states, nor can they predict an imminent state of discomfort, even before it is unconsciously recognized and resolved by the individuals. The control structures provided by SDAs enable fundamentally adaptive approaches by dynamical, computer-assisted redefinition of environment parameters, control complexity, and sensor outputs. Yet, such enabling possibilities still need non-stop monitoring of occupant physiological and emotional states to enable intelligent responses rather than automation in pre-set adjustment processes [9].

1.2 Limitations of Traditional Survey-Based Market Research

Conventionally, techniques used to assess preferences and acceptances of consumers regarding various features and performance aspects have relied on survey research techniques and focus groups. The aforementioned assessment tools are retrospective in nature and ask respondents to recall their experiences over an extended period of time after the event has taken place. This results in various biases based on memory constraints and the unreliability of re-creating emotions experienced during usage. Participants often cannot easily distinguish separate aspects of their experience or specify what was causing overall satisfaction or discomfort. This stated preference paradigm faces additional challenges when applied to autonomous development. Occupants cannot reliably predict their emotional or physiological responses to autonomous driving experiences that they have not yet encountered. This temporal granularity is insufficient to understand specific behaviors that might affect well-being, such as braking patterns, cornering forces, or control transitions. Continuous feedback loops are needed that will allow real-time correlation between operation parameters and occupant physiological responses to optimize autonomous driving algorithms and cabin comfort features [1].

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(63s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

Contemporary autonomous development requires a radical shift away from retrospective stated preferences to objective, real-time measurement of occupant physiological states. These objective measurements enable manufacturers to confirm experiences through biological evidence rather than depending solely on retrospective accounts. The move toward biologically validated experiences is not simply an incremental enhancement in methods of intelligence but a reconceptualization of the basic ways automotive firms understand and respond to customer needs in the era of autonomous mobility [7].

Traditional Monitoring	Biometric Monitoring
Retrospective surveys and questionnaires	Real-time physiological signal acquisition
Subjective self-reported comfort ratings	Objective biological stress indicators
Manual adjustment through user commands	Automated preemptive environmental modifications
Delayed feedback after journey completion	Continuous millisecond-level response detection

Table 1: Traditional vs. Biometric Passenger Monitoring Approaches [1, 7]

2. Multimodal Sensor Fusion for Biometric Data Acquisition

In order to properly monitor occupant biological and emotional processes, it is necessary to coordinate multiple sensing modalities with different capabilities to detect varied biological signals without requiring physical contact or occupant participation. The conventional approach to collecting these biological signals, using wearable technology or physical contact sensors, is limited by constraints in the automotive environment, in which car occupants will not accept wearing any type of specialized equipment in normal driving activities and will be concerned about hygiene issues in using contact sensors to collect biological signals with multiple users. The proposed approach resolves these constraints by synergistically using optical and thermographic sensors that continuously operate in the background without requiring occupant attention or cooperation. The near-infrared camera captures minute details in blood flow, pupils, and micro-expressions that occur below conscious levels and continuously reflect occupant cognitive loads, stress levels, and emotional states [2]. The far-infrared sensor adds to this reflection by capturing minute temperature changes in different regions of the face to indicate minute activations of the autonomic nervous system, which reflect physiological reactions to stress through dissipated heat and correlated activities in cardiovascular and sweat levels [4]. The optical camera is superior in the capture of high-resolution facial expressions and pupillary reflex, but is sensitive to varying lighting conditions and unable to directly assess the thermal pattern of autonomic nervous stimulation.

Machine learning algorithms then evaluate the synchronized streams of both sources of information and vehicle dynamics features, including acceleration activity, braking force, and steering commands, as a way of identifying correlations between the dynamics of vehicle operation and the occupant stress responses [3].

The privacy issue requires careful consideration during the integration of continuous biometric monitoring within a shared mobility environment. The system uses anonymized data processing chains that yield appropriate biometric traits from raw sensor readings without preserving recognizable information, such as facial geometry and temperature maps, that would make possible the identification of specific individuals. Biometric monitoring systems are thereby designed to evaluate the welfare of persons inside without establishing potential privacy breaches for monitoring functionalities extending beyond general comfort improvement [6]. Data preservation policies preserve only general statistical trends as opposed to specific biometric information for full compatibility with all privacy regulations, while allowing system improvements according to general population trends for continuous monitoring.

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(63s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

Sensor Technology	Captured Biometric Indicators
Near-Infrared Cameras	Pupil dilation, micro-expressions, and facial blood flow patterns
Far-Infrared Thermal Sensors	Facial temperature variations, heat dissipation, and autonomic responses
Pressure-Sensitive Seating	Postural shifts, weight distribution, movement patterns
Contactless Heart Rate Detection	Cardiovascular activity, pulse variability, stress responses

Table 2: Multimodal Sensor Technologies for In-Cabin Monitoring [2, 4]

3. Affective Computing and Real-Time Emotional State Recognition

Affective computing is the technical underpinning for the translation of raw biometric data into actionable information about occupant emotional states and psychological discomfort levels. Conventional approaches to emotional analysis had been based on attempts to categorize observed facial expressions or biometric data into distinct categories of emotional states like happiness, anger, or fear. However, occupant experience in an automotive environment is more properly characterized as occurring along continuous dimensions of valence, arousal, and cognitive engagement that cannot be easily categorized into distinct states of emotional experience. This model uses dimensional emotive models to describe occupant states as points in multidimensional psychological spaces, facilitating the detection of small changes in emotional states over the duration of a journey [8].

Machine learning algorithms are trained to map complex correlations between extensive data samples of various biometric outputs synchronized together and corresponding subjective Self-Reported Measures of the associated emotional state. Convolutional Neural Networks are used to analyze optical camera views to detect Facial Action Units that refer to particular muscular actions linked to particular emotional displays of micro-expressions that are not consciously detectable in time intervals that are too brief to be consciously perceived but reveal true emotional reaction to driving actions [10]. Recurrent Neural Networks examine a particular series of biometric measurements to detect particular stress levels that indicate rising stress levels, mental overload, or early stages of motion sickness, even before they reach the consciously perceived state [10].

The recognition of emotional states in real time has strict requirements in terms of latency, as effective interventions need to identify upcoming discomfort levels within milliseconds of event stimulation rather than in seconds or minutes, when building inhabitants will have already experienced ill effects. The edge computing architecture processes the specified biological inputs within the car and not through cloud computing, thus ensuring sub-second latency between stress recognition and control adjustments. The optimal neural networks alleviate tensions in recognition accuracy and computational complexity to achieve real-time processing within an automotive processor without requiring any specialized hardware accelerator, thus reducing costs and power consumption [10].

Emotional Dimension	Physiological Correlates
Valence (Positive/Negative)	Facial muscle activation patterns, micro-expression intensity
Arousal (High/Low)	Pupil diameter, skin conductance, cardiovascular activity
Cognitive Load	Pupil dilation duration, blink rate, and facial tension
Motion Discomfort	Facial pallor, thermal patterns, and postural adjustments

Table 3: Affective Computing and Emotional State Dimensions [8, 10]

3.1 Deep Reinforcement Learning for Adaptive Cabin Optimization

Deep reinforcement learning supplies the algorithmic solution that enables cars to learn optimal intervention policies through interactive engagement with vehicle occupants without pre-programmed rules of response. Conventional control systems implement pre-defined logics that translate sensor inputs into actions on actuators using pre-programmed decision trees or look-up table schemes

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(63s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

defining corresponding reactions to expected situations. Nonetheless, human responses to stress are too complex, and personal variability in stress response sensitivity makes it not very practical to pre-program optimal cabin adjustments based on any possible scenario of vehicle occupant conditions, driving situations, and ambient environments. The intervention policies are learned by trial and error by reinforcement learning agents based on rewarding signals provided by the interface of intervention actions and attendant improvements in comfort measures of vehicle occupants [5].

The learning agent is able to observe a state representation based upon current biometric activity, previous vehicle telemetry, as well as state parameters such as light intensity, volume, climate control, and complexity of user interfaces. At each state, the agent chooses actions to adjust one or more of these parameters in a continuous action space representing possible parameter adjustments. After execution of an action, it obtains a reward signal based upon subsequent biometric activity, with positive rewards based upon reductions in stress levels as indicated by these activities and negative rewards based upon discomfort levels, among other considerations [9].

Over thousands of cycles of interactions, the agent develops a policy that takes the observed state as input and chooses the set of optimal actions in order to maximize rewards over time. While this strategy could be implemented by human designers by analyzing the relationship between cabin modifications and their effect on passengers, it would be challenging to realize that turning off the cabin light with enhanced audio clarity reduces passenger motion sickness better than both interventions alone. Environmental adjustments can predict stress-inducing incidents by analyzing patterns in vehicle telemetric data that precede challenging driving tasks, in turn enabling modifications to be made in advance of stressing the passengers [5].

4. Proactive Intervention Systems for Passenger Well-being

Proactive intervention strategies stand out in this approach from reactive comfort systems in that they prepare for the arrival of occupant needs before the emergence of conscious discomfort perceptions. In the conventional automobile comfort system, intervention strategies are achieved through user command operations or automation programming scheduled in advance, where adjustments in environmental factors are accomplished only after the occupant has identified their needs through control commands. The biometric monitoring concept achieves the detection of signs of impending driver stress in milliseconds following the event, thus providing opportunities for proactive changes in the environment before reaching discomfort thresholds of conscious perceptions [1].

Cognitive load management is an important intervention point since the psychological demands that car occupants face in an autonomous vehicle are not experienced in conventional driving situations. The intervention system identifies increasing cognitive loads by analyzing pupil dilation signals and facial tension markers and reacts by simplifying interface elements in the system, decreasing information content on screens, and suppressing non-critical alerts [6].

Motion sickness relief requires harmonized changes in a number of cabin variables. Noting the earliest physiological symptoms such as facial pallor observable by thermal imaging and minor changes in posture observable by pressure-sensitive seating surfaces, countermeasures begin with minor changes involving ambient lighting color temperature and escalate to more dramatic changes involving seating backrest angles and climate control when necessary [1]. The issue of trust in autonomous mobility is dealt with by the framework, which offers a continuous sense of comfort and satisfaction concerning the vehicle’s awareness of the well-being of those inside through feedback that the vehicle is paying heed to the well-being of the occupants. Ambient lighting and feedback through the seating surfaces indicate that the system is aware that the occupants are stressed and has taken the necessary action [6].

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(63s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

Intervention Category	Cabin Parameter Adjustments
Cognitive Load Reduction	User interface simplification, notification suppression, display dimming
Motion Sickness Prevention	Lighting color temperature shifts, seat recline, and climate modifications
Autonomous Anxiety Mitigation	Ambient lighting feedback, haptic reassurance, information transparency
Environmental Comfort Optimization	Audio frequency adjustment, temperature calibration, and airflow direction

Table 4: Adaptive Cabin Interventions for Stress Mitigation [5, 6]

Conclusion

The biometric sensing of predictive occupant monitoring marks new horizons in automotive feedback, shifting from reactive systems to proactive management of occupant comfort. The integration of thermal imaging technology and optical sensors in real-time enables predictive tracking while maintaining occupant privacy through anonymous analysis. The article of biological indicators coupled with automotive motion indicators enables machine learning algorithms to deliver real-time adaptive responses of the cabin system before the onset of occupant discomfort. Preemptive adjustments of environmental configurations, such as lighting, sound systems, and interface designs for equilibria in automated automobile travel, identify biological system equilibria in advance of the possibility of occupant discomfort. This addresses the very specific fear of automated vehicles head-on through the quantification of psychological assurance instead of mere subjective impressions of occupant satisfaction. Real-time emotion detection systematizes vehicles into a dynamic space responsive to individual biological cycles. Increased automation requires precisely attuned responsive space in vehicles for specific acceptance and loyalty in automotive systems. The integration of affective computing systems in vehicles introduces novel models in the interpretation of occupant needs from subjective assertions to objective confirmation. The interior of automotive vehicles embodies scientifically constructed projective spaces for psychological equilibria in automated vehicles.

References

[1] Geesung Oh et al., "Multimodal data collection system for driver emotion recognition based on self-reporting in real-world driving," MDPI, Jun. 2022. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/12/4402
[2] Murali Krishna Pasupuleti, "AI-enabled multimodal biometrics: Advancing security with facial, voice, and behavioral integration," ResearchGate, Jan. 2025. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387671914_AI-Enabled_Multimodal_Biometrics_Advancing_Security_with_Facial_Voice_and_Behavioral_Integration
[3] João Ribeiro Pinto, "Seamless multimodal biometrics for continuous personalised wellbeing monitoring," ResearchGate, Dec. 2022. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366646908_Seamless_Multimodal_Biometrics_for_Continuous_Personalised_Wellbeing_Monitoring
[4] Umair Ali Khan et al., "Exploring contactless techniques in multimodal emotion recognition: insights into diverse applications, challenges, solutions, and prospects," Springer Nature Link, Apr. 2024. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00530-024-01302-2
[5] Robert A. Kaufman, "Improving human-autonomous vehicle interaction in complex systems," arXiv:2504.17170v1 [cs.HC], Apr. 2025.https://arxiv.org/html/2504.17170
[6] Jamil Hussain et al., "A multimodal deep log-based user experience (UX) platform for UX evaluation," National Library of Medicine, May 2018. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5982399/

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(63s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

[7] Paolo Visconti, "Innovative driver monitoring systems and on-board-vehicle devices in a smart-road scenario based on the internet of vehicle paradigm: A literature and commercial solutions overview," MDPI, Jan. 2025.https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/25/2/562
[8] Wenbo Li et al., "Review and perspectives on human emotion for connected automated vehicles," ResearchGate, Jan. 2024. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377823567_Review_and_Perspectives_on_Human_Emotion_for_Connected_Automated_Vehicles
[9] Pascal Jansen et al., "A design space for human sensor and actuator focused in-vehicle interaction based on a systematic literature review," ACM Digital Library, Jul. 2022. https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3534617
[10] M. Gnana Priya, "AI-driven multimodal emotion recognition and personalized recommendations using Power BI," International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering Science and Management, Sep. 2025. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396257939_AI-Driven_Multimodal_Emotion_Recognition_and_Personalized_Recommendations_Using_Power_BI