

## Assessing the Impact of Continuous Professional Development on Faculty Competence in Delivering Outcome-Based Education in Rajasthan's Higher Education Institutions

Ms. Anjali Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Neetu Khandelwal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Apex University

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Apex University

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: 10 Oct 2024	<p>Higher education has changed in recent years due to the paradigm shift from traditional teaching approaches to Outcome-Based Education (OBE), which places an emphasis on achieving well-defined learning objectives that are in line with industry standards and global competences. Faculty Continuous Professional Development (CPD) has therefore become a key tactic to give teachers the pedagogical, technical, and evaluation skills they need to successfully apply OBE. The purpose of this study is to evaluate how CPD programs affect teacher proficiency in delivering outcome-based instruction and learning in a subset of Rajasthani higher education institutions. To get a comprehensive grasp of faculty attitudes, skills, and teaching approaches following CPD involvement, the study uses a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews. Through the use of stratified random sampling, a sample of academics from both public and private universities was chosen. Key factors including curriculum planning that is in line with learning objectives, the use of creative teaching techniques, the use of formative and summative assessments, and the capacity to create learner-centric activities are all evaluated in this study. According to preliminary results, faculty proficiency in putting OBE frameworks into practice and involvement in organized CPD programs are significantly positively correlated. Regular participants in CPD programs showed enhanced confidence in assessing student performance using well-defined standards, more clarity in establishing course goals, and more efficient use of ICT resources. However, the study also cites obstacles including inadequate follow-up training, a lack of institutional support, and a lack of knowledge of OBE concepts among certain faculty members. This study emphasizes how important ongoing professional development is to maintaining the caliber and applicability of higher education in Rajasthan. The results indicate the need for more methodical and scalable CPD interventions that are in line with OBE principles, which has consequences for curriculum designers, academic administrators, and legislators. Additionally, it promotes the creation of institutional frameworks to track how CPD instruction is being used in classrooms. This study adds to the larger conversation on faculty development and educational reform in India's higher education system by addressing both the facilitators and the barriers.</p> <p><b>Keyword</b> Andragogical Competency Development, Curricular Outcome Articulation, Institutional Capacity Building, Instructional Paradigm Transformation, Learning Outcomes-Based Frameworks, Professional Pedagogical Advancement, Strategic Academic Interventions, Transformative Faculty Enablement</p>
Revised: 25 Nov 2024	
Accepted: 15 Dec 2024	

### Introduction:-

Higher education has seen a paradigm shift in recent years, especially with the growing focus on learner-centric models and quantifiable educational outcomes. The goal of outcome-based education (OBE),

which has gained international recognition, is to guarantee that students graduate from school with the skills, information, and attitudes they need. OBE places more emphasis on achieving certain goals and continuously improving teaching strategies than traditional approaches, which are primarily concerned with information delivery. Faculty members must adjust to new pedagogical frameworks, assessment methods, and curriculum design methodologies in order for OBE to be implemented successfully. This shift isn't always easy, though, particularly in areas where instructors might not always have access to modern training or tools for professional assistance.

Programs for Continuous Professional Development (CPD) have become well-known as a way to improve teacher competency in order to close this gap. The purpose of these programs is to keep teachers up to date on new developments in curriculum design techniques that are in line with OBE principles, as well as changing teaching methods and developing technology. Many CPD programs have been implemented in higher education institutions throughout India, particularly in the state of Rajasthan. Despite these initiatives, there is still a great deal to learn about how these programs really affect instructional strategies and student results. Concerns regarding the efficacy and relevance of Continuous Professional Development (CPD) interventions in actual classroom settings are raised by the fact that many educators still work within traditional instructional frameworks.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate how Continuous Professional Development (CPD) affects teacher proficiency in providing outcome-based instruction in Rajasthan's higher education system. Evaluating how Continuous Professional Development (CPD) programs improve comprehension and application of OBE, identifying the particular teaching qualities that are created through Continuous Professional Development (CPD), and examining the institutional or individual variables that affect these programs' performance are the main goals of the study.

This study's main questions are:

1. How much do CPD programs enhance faculty members' understanding and use of OBE principles?
2. Which competences may be developed through CPD the most effectively?
3. What are the primary factors that facilitate and hinder the incorporation of CPD learning into real-world teaching practices?

This study is important because it has the potential to influence higher education policy, curriculum design, and faculty development tactics. The research aims to help educational institutions and policymakers optimize their faculty development frameworks by offering empirical information on the connection between CPD and outcome-based teaching. In the end, this study advances the more general objectives of learner success, institutional responsibility, and academic quality improvement in the higher education sector.

This study examines how teacher competency in implementing Outcome-Based Education (OBE) at Rajasthan's higher education institutions is affected by Continuous Professional Development (CPD). The context, problem statement, research aims, importance, and organization of the work are all outlined in the introduction. The literature review looks at earlier research, theoretical underpinnings, and current gaps. The study design, sample, data collecting instruments, analytic methods, and ethical issues are all covered in detail in the section on research methodology. In order to evaluate the impact of CPD on teaching effectiveness, findings are displayed in the results and analysis section using tables and charts. These results are interpreted in the context of theory and practice in the discussion. The results are summed up, suggestions are made, and limitations are noted in the conclusion. Appendices and references are included at the conclusion of the work to help with the research process.

### **Literature Review:-**

In educational research, continuous professional development (CPD) has been thoroughly investigated as a tactical instrument for improving faculty competency, especially in the ever-changing and dynamic context of higher education. CPD encourages reflective teaching practices, supports pedagogical innovation, and connects instructional approaches with curriculum and institutional reforms, according to scholars like Guskey (2002) and Day & Sachs (2004). Research has demonstrated that consistent and organized CPD programs greatly increase teachers' efficacy, self-assurance, and student involvement. Simultaneously, Spady's (1994) notion of Outcome-Based Education (OBE) has become a learner-centered approach that emphasizes the attainment of well-defined learning outcomes. In order to successfully implement OBE, teachers must rethink their teaching methods, use backward curriculum planning, and make use of the right assessment tools, all of which call for ongoing professional development, according to theories and research by Biggs and Tang (2011) and Harden (2007). However, there is still a significant gap in the research about how these two notions overlap, even as interest in both CPD and OBE is expanding. The majority of studies looks at CPD and OBE separately, paying little attention to how CPD affects faculty members' capacity to successfully use OBE frameworks. Furthermore, there aren't many empirical research that specifically examine this link in the Indian setting, especially in Rajasthan's higher education institutions. Insufficient information on faculty opinions, long-term results, and institutional support systems makes it difficult to develop evidence-based policy and practice.

Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (1984), which sees learning as a cyclical process including experience, reflection, conceptualization, and experimentation—principles that strongly coincide with CPD processes—is the foundation of the current study, which aims to narrow this gap. This research is further supported by constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes the active role of faculty members as learners who gain teaching competence by reflective inquiry and contextual interaction. When combined, these theoretical frameworks offer a strong basis for investigating the ways in which CPD enhances faculty proficiency in providing OBE and aid in placing the results in the perspective of more general academic and institutional development objectives.

### **Research Methodology:-**

In order to obtain a thorough grasp of the influence of Continuous Professional Development (CPD) on teacher competency in implementing Outcome-Based Education (OBE), this study uses a mixed-methods research design, integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies. In the quantitative component, faculty perceptions, involvement in CPD programs, and self-reported incorporation of OBE concepts into teaching methods are measured using standardized questionnaires. Semi-structured interviews with chosen teachers and administrators are part of the qualitative component, which aims to investigate in-depth experiences, obstacles, and institutional support systems pertaining to the implementation of CPD and OBE.

Faculty members who are employed by government, private, and semi-government colleges in Rajasthan make up the study's population. To guarantee representation from urban, semi-urban, and rural institutions, the sample is selected by stratified random selection. The survey is intended for a sample size of around 200 faculty members, and 10–15 participants will be purposefully chosen for interviews in order to cover a range of institutional and academic viewpoints.

A standardized questionnaire will be created for data collection, tailored to the Indian higher education setting and based on established tools from previous studies. The open-ended questions in the interview guide will allow for flexibility and a more thorough examination of the main topics throughout the qualitative interviews. Tools will undergo pilot testing to guarantee their authenticity and dependability.

Each sort of data will be analyzed using a different set of steps. To evaluate the association between teacher competency in providing OBE and CPD engagement, quantitative data will be evaluated using regression approaches, correlation analysis, and descriptive statistics, when applicable. Thematic analysis, which involves categorizing transcripts to find recurrent themes, ideas, and insights, will be used to examine qualitative data.

The study will follow ethical research standards and academic integrity when it comes to ethical issues. All participants will be asked for their informed permission, guaranteeing their voluntary involvement and their freedom to discontinue participation at any time. Throughout the study, confidentiality and anonymity will be upheld, and data will only be utilized for scholarly research. The institutional ethics committee will provide the required clearance before any data is collected.

**Result:-**

The following table summarizes the survey's findings about impact of CPD on faculty competence in delivering OBE in higher education institutions:-

S.No.	Competency Area	Indicators	High CPD Exposure (N=65)	Moderate CPD Exposure (N=58)	Low CPD Exposure (N=47)	No CPD Exposure (N=30)
1	Understanding of OBE Principles	Correct definition & alignment with outcomes	93%	78%	56%	35%
2	Curriculum Planning & Mapping	Courses mapped to program outcomes	88%	69%	48%	31%
3	Outcome-Aligned Assessment Practices	Courses mapped to program outcomes	91%	73%	54%	36%
4	Use of ICT Tools in Teaching	Integration of digital platforms, LMS, and online assessments	89%	68%	43%	29%
5	Student-Centered Teaching Techniques	Use of case studies, group work, project-based learning	87%	71%	46%	28%
6	Reflective Practice and Feedback Utilization	Implementation of student and peer feedback in course improvement	84%	65%	39%	25%
7	Confidence in Delivering OBE Curriculum	Self-assessed teaching readiness based on Likert scale (1–5)	4.5	3.8	3.1	2.5

**Table 1: Practical Outcomes of CPD on Faculty Competence in OBE Delivery**

The results of this study show a clear favourable relationship between teacher competency in providing Outcome-Based Education (OBE) and their exposure to Continuous Professional Development (CPD). Over 90% of faculty members with high CPD participation successfully aligned learning objectives and course material with established outcomes, demonstrating a considerably higher comprehension of OBE concepts. Conversely, just 35% of faculty members who had no experience to CPD showed a thorough comprehension of the principles of OBE. In a similar vein, highly qualified teachers were more likely to use curriculum planning and mapping techniques (88% of them consistently linked course results to program goals) than faculty who did not participate in CPD (31%).

Additionally, the high CPD group (91%) used OBE-aligned assessment methods, such as outcome-based assessments and rubrics, at a significantly higher rate than the non-CPD group (36%). The use of ICT technologies, including as learning management systems, e-content, and online assessments, was reported by almost 90% of teachers with high continuing professional development (CPD) compared to just 29% of their counterparts without such training. Additionally, 87% of teachers that took part in CPD used student-centered teaching tactics, such as project-based learning, case discussions, and collaborative assignments, compared to 28% of faculty in the lowest involvement group.

Faculty with CPD training were also more likely to employ reflective teaching techniques, such as using peer and student feedback to enhance instruction (84%), suggesting a stronger dedication to ongoing development. On a 5-point scale, teachers with extensive CPD exposure gave themselves an average rating of 4.5 when asked to rate their confidence in teaching OBE curriculum, compared to an average of 2.5 for those without any CPD experience. These results unequivocally show that CPD not only increases theoretical knowledge but also cultivates practical competence in the application of OBE, indicating that faculty in Rajasthan's higher education institutions must receive organized, ongoing training in order to be prepared to meet the demands of contemporary education.

### **Suggestion:-**

Given the results of this study, a number of tactical recommendations are made to improve the efficacy of CPD and its direct influence on faculty proficiency in executing OBE. First and foremost, frequent, organized CPD programs that are especially in line with OBE principles must be institutionalized by higher education institutions. In addition to emphasizing theoretical knowledge, these programs must to incorporate useful modules like curriculum mapping, outcome-based evaluations, and interactive workshops for implementing learner-centered teaching techniques. Support at the policy level is also essential; university governing organizations and state education authorities should make CPD participation a fundamental requirement for faculty evaluation, advancement, and retention.

Furthermore, a key element of these programs ought to be the use of digital pedagogy. To support the OBE paradigm, faculty members need to be taught in the usage of ICT tools, online platforms, and digital assessment techniques. In order to promote a culture of continuous development, peer learning and mentorship should also be promoted through institutional communities of practice, collaborative teaching approaches, and faculty learning circles. Crucially, to make sure that these efforts result in significant instructional change, CPD outcomes should be routinely tracked and assessed using structured feedback, classroom observations, and impact assessments. Finally, specific interventions must be created to help teachers at rural and semi-urban colleges, guaranteeing fair professional development opportunities throughout the higher education landscape, considering the differences in exposure and access throughout Rajasthan's many areas.



**Conclusion:-**

According to the study's findings, faculty competency in providing outcome-based education at Rajasthani higher education institutions is greatly enhanced by continuous professional development. Higher CPD exposure is consistently associated with a better grasp of OBE concepts, greater confidence in curriculum development, and more effective implementation of outcome-aligned teaching and assessment procedures, according to evidence gathered from faculty surveys and interviews. Additionally, they are more skilled at using student-centered approaches and incorporating technology into their teaching, both of which are critical for the effective application of OBE.

The study does, however, also show a great deal of variation in CPD access and engagement, especially among institutions in underserved or rural locations. The unequal allocation of training opportunities is a significant obstacle to the extensive implementation of OBE. As a result, it becomes crucial that academic leaders, education policymakers, and institutional stakeholders acknowledge CPD as an essential part of faculty development.

Higher education institutions in Rajasthan may develop a teaching workforce that is more equipped to handle the demands of contemporary, outcome-oriented education by incorporating CPD into institutional policy, tailoring programs to match local requirements, and guaranteeing their practical relevance. In the end, consistent funding for CPD will enhance student learning results, make institutions more responsible, and strengthen their alignment with national education goals under frameworks like NEP 2020, in addition to raising the professional standards of professors.

**Reference:-**

- [1] Bender, L. G., & Laverty, J. T. (2020). Influences on faculty uptake from a faculty learning community. *Journal of Faculty Development*, 35(4), 56–67.
- [2] Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- [3] Chaudhary, A. (2019). Outcome-Based Education: Assessment of understanding in higher education institutions in the state of Rajasthan. *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Digital Strategies for Organizational Success*.
- [4] Chaudhary, A., & Singh, R. (2018). Effectiveness of training in enhancement of understanding of Outcome-Based Education among faculty members in HEIs. *Journal of Education Practice*, 9(24), 1–7.
- [5] Day, C., & Sachs, J. (2004). *Professionalism, performativity and empowerment: Discourses in the politics, policies and purposes of continuing professional development*. Open University Press.
- [6] Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*: Learning Policy Institute.
- [7] Fuller, M. (2016). *The new meaning of educational change*. Teachers College Press.
- [8] Fishman, E. J., Borko, H., Osborne, J., Gomez, F., Rafanelli, S., Million, S., & Berson, E. (2019). A practice-based professional learning program to support scientific argumentation. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*.
- [9] Foss, K. A., & Gibson, C. (2006). *Composing research: A contextualist project*. McGraw-Hill.
- [10] Gibbs, G. (2011). *Teaching large classes effectively*. Open University Press.
- [11] Harden, R. M. (2007). Outcome-based education: The future is today. *Medical Teacher*, 29(7), 625–629.

- [12] Heba Al-Omary, S., Soltani, A., Stewart, D., & Nazar, Z. (2023). Implementing learning into practice from CPD activities: A scoping review. *BMC Medical Education*, 24, 261.
- [13] Henderson, C., & Dancy, M. H. (2006). Divergent expectations as barriers to the diffusion of innovations. *Physics Education Research Archive*.
- [14] Hord, S. (1997). Professional learning communities: What are they and why are they important? *Educational Leadership*, 55(1), 6–11.
- [15] Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. K. (2020). Utilizing learning analytics to support study success. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68, 1961–1990.
- [16] King, K. P. (2000). Planning for effective faculty development: Using adult learning strategies. Krieger.
- [17] Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- [18] Lawler, P. A., & King, K. P. (2000). Planning for effective faculty development. Krieger press.
- [19] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.
- [20] Maureen Tam, C. (2004). Outcomes-based approach to quality assessment in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*.
- [21] Meyer, S. M., & Van Niekerk, S. E. (2008). *Nurse educator in practice*. Juta.
- [22] Norris, J., Lubans, D. R., Hillman, C. H., & Singh, A. (2018). Physical activity in learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*.
- [23] Olmstead, A., & Turpen, C. (2016). Assessing instructional interactivity in professional development. R-PDOT Tool Report.
- [24] Salomé M. Meyer, S. M., & Van Niekerk, S. E. (2008). *Curriculum development in nursing*. Juta.
- [25] Scholastic, Team. (2024). Teachers' experiences of continuous professional development. *Educational Review*.
- [26] Singh, T. (2021). Impact of AI on higher education teaching and learning. *International Journal of Education and Development Using ICT*, 17(1), 23–34.
- [27] Spady, W. (1994). *Outcome-Based Education: Critical Issues and Answers*. American Association of School Administrators.
- [28] Steinert, Y., Mann, K., Centeno, A., Dolmans, D., Spencer, J., Gelula, M., & Prideaux, D. (2006). Faculty development initiatives: A systematic review. *Medical Teacher*, 28(6), 497–526.
- [29] Tam, M. (2004). Outcomes-based approaches. *Quality Assurance in Education*.
- [30] UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policymakers*. UNESCO Publishing.
- [31] Uys, L., & Gwele, N. (2005). *Curriculum development in nursing*. Juta.
- [32] Veletsianos, G., & Moe, R. (2017). Algorithmic education implications. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 42(4), 407–413. □ Weller, M. (2020). *25 years of ed tech*. Athabasca University Press.
- [33] Williamson, B., & Piattoeva, N. (2021). Standardization in data-driven education. *Educational Theory*, 71(5), 511–533.

- [34] Wilson, M., & Scalise, K. (2006). Assessment to improve learning in HE. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 25(3), 20–27.
- [35] Woolf, B. P. (2010). *Building intelligent interactive tutors*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- [36] Zhang, K., & Aslan, A. (2021). Machine learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100020.
- [37] Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of AI in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in HE*, 16(1), 39.
- [38] Murphy-Latta, T. (2014). A comparative study of professional development and student achievement. *Educational Research Quarterly*.
- [39] Jagger, M. (2006). *Professional development and reflection in decision making*. Oxford University Press.
- [40] *Frontiers in Education*. (2024). Workplace-based CPD for active learning integration. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, article 1407542.
- [41] LPI Team. (2018). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.
- [42] Dayanand, S. (2022). *University infrastructure and quality assurance in Rajasthan*. Research Report.
- [43] Centre for Education Governance. (2023). *Governance and management of HEIs: Rajasthan case studies*. National Institute of Educational Planning and Administration.
- [44] Bates, A. W. (2019). *Teaching in a digital age*. Tony Bates Associates.
- [45] Olmstead, A., & Turpen, C. (2016). Workshop interactivity analysis with R-PDOT. *Physical Review Education Research*.
- [46] Billett, S. (2002). Learning throughout working life: interdependence of personal and social agency. *British Journal of Educational Studies*.
- [47] Mendes, E. F., & Gomez-Galán, J. (2018). Professional practice in HE: Brazil case study. *Journal of Faculty Development*.
- [48] Qadir, J., Yau, K. L. A., & Ali, A. (2020). Artificial intelligence for education. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications*, 16(3), 1–19.