

Power, Politics and Personal Growth: A Comparative Study of Edmund Candler's Sri Ram & Abdication

Neerja Deswal¹, Pooja Khanna², Sunita Dhankhar³

¹Professor, Department of English, Aditi Mahavidyalaya, University of Delhi.

Email: neerdesw@gmail.com

²Professor, Department of English, Aditi Mahavidyalaya, University of Delhi.

Email: poojakhanna1973@gmail.com

³Professor, Department of English, Aditi Mahavidyalaya, University of Delhi.

Email: sunitadhankhar200@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: 6 th September, 2024	<p>This paper examines Edmund Candler's Sri Ram: Revolutionist (1920) and Abdication (1922) as a thematic duology that interrogates power, politics, and personal growth within the context of colonial times in India. The novels present two different sides of colonized India: the revolutionary freedom fighter who is ready to martyr himself for the country and the spiritual Indian who willing to renounce the material gains of the world. In first novel, the protagonist Sri Ram idolizes revolutionary fervor. His nationalist ideology is noble but eventually his efforts are betrayed in favor of imperialist powers leading to his martyrdom. The protagonist in second novel is again named Sri Ram but he chooses spiritual renunciation after political disillusionment. His dissent shows him the path of mystical withdrawal. This study uses postcolonial theory to analyze narrative strategies employed by Candler. He has used omniscient narration, ambivalent tone and orientalist aesthetics to emphatically present Indian agency of resistance and renunciation within the colonial scenario of that age. This paper concludes that the personal journey of an Indian protagonist becomes meaningful because it is contextually aligned with imperial expectations. These juxtapositions add layered meaning to the anxieties of that era. Candler's work throws light on how Anglo-Indian literature paved the way for deeper political and spiritual identity of our country. Thus, this revisitation of a little-known novelist provides critical insights in postcolonial literary studies.</p> <p>Keywords: Anglo-Indian Literature, Edmund Candler, colonial discourse, spirituality, personal growth</p>
Revised: 4 th October, 2024	
Accepted: 28 th October, 2024	

1. INTRODUCTION

Early twentieth century gave rise to a new wave of colonial literature. Writers like E. M. Forster, Rudyard Kipling, and Edmund Candler were writing about India's nationalist awakening from the imperial perspective. Within the oeuvre of colonial literature, Edmund Candler (1874-1926) occupies a distinctive yet underexplored position. He was Anglo-Indian novelist, journalist, and war correspondent, who lived extensively in India. His works include A Vagabond in Asia (1900), The Unveiling of Lhasa (1905), Sri Ram: Revolutionist (1920) and Abdication (1922). The last two novels present a thematic duology that engages with India's freedom struggle and spiritual traditions from the perspective of a Britisher. Set during the rise of revolutionary consciousness, these novels reveal Candler's ambivalent stance. Rosinka Chaudhuri calls him an outsider-insider, who is "simultaneously sympathetic to Indian aspirations yet entrenched in colonial paternalism" (45). "Sri Ram: Revolutionist" narrates the journey of a young nationalist Sri Ram who embraces martyrdom due to his revolutionary beliefs. In "Abdication", the protagonist again named Sri Ram, is disillusioned by the political violence and chooses spiritual renunciation. Together, these texts illuminate Candler's vision of colonial India as a land oscillating between revolutionary zeal and mystical relinquishment. Ashis Nandy in his book 'The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self under Colonialism' reflects on British anxieties over Indian self-rule alongside an "oriental fascination with Hindu spirituality" (31).

This paper is an attempt to analyze power, politics, and personal growth as interlinked dimensions in Sri Ram duology. Such a focus is significant because it exposes the complex ways in which colonial literature constructs Indian agency. It either valorizes sacrificial nationalism or romanticizes spiritual withdrawal to negotiate imperial authority. Employing postcolonial theory and narratology, this study examines Candler's narrative techniques, representations

of political consciousness and inner transformation. Ultimately, this paper argues that Candler's duology does not merely document Indian nationalism but actively shapes the colonial discourse on India's political and spiritual destiny. It proposes that Candler deserves a renewed critical attention within the broader corpus of early twentieth century Anglo-Indian fiction.

2. EDMUND CANDLER: LIFE, WORKS, AND COLONIAL PERSPECTIVE

Edmund Candler was a distinguished British journalist, travel writer, and novelist whose literary works were intricately connected to the colonial context of early twentieth-century India. Born in Kent, England, Candler initially joined the Indian Civil Service as a teacher before transitioning to journalism and ultimately serving as a correspondent for *The Daily Mail* and *The Manchester Guardian*. His extensive travels throughout India and the Middle East significantly influenced his descriptive, quasi-ethnographic writing style.

Candler's novels along with his travelogues occupy a unique position within Anglo-Indian literature, characterized by both documentary and imaginative elements. As Chaudhuri notes, colonial writers like Candler "claimed to reveal India to the West while reinforcing imperial ideologies under the guise of disinterested observation" (45). His literary objectives were multifaceted: to document the rapidly evolving socio-political landscape of British India, to interpret Indian culture and nationalist movements for English readers, and ultimately to justify colonial presence by depicting Indians as either noble revolutionaries destined to fail or as mystical renouncers abdicating worldly responsibilities. This is evident in his duology, where revolutionary agency is overshadowed by colonial paternalism and Orientalist spiritualism.

Candler's narrative voice often embodies what Edward Said describes as the "flexible positional superiority" of the Orientalist: he empathizes with Indian suffering yet subtly reasserts British moral authority (7). This perspective is particularly apparent in his portrayal of Sri Ram's martyrdom and the protagonist's renunciation in "Abdication," where personal growth is rendered intelligible only within the colonial frameworks of Indian spirituality and political immaturity. By situating Candler within the broader canon of colonial fiction, this paper highlights his role in shaping and disseminating the imperial imaginary—an aspect that warrants renewed critical examination in contemporary postcolonial studies.

3. NARRATIVES OF POWER

The representation of power in Edmund Candler's duology reveals his intricate yet ultimately colonial perspective on Indian political and spiritual agency. Both novels position power as central to the protagonists' narratives, yet their trajectories reflect the ideological constraints characteristic of early twentieth-century Anglo-Indian literature.

In "Sri Ram: Revolutionist," power is primarily characterized by political resistance. Sri Ram, the young Brahmin revolutionary, embodies the nascent Indian nationalism infused with Gandhian moral idealism, yet he opts for militant rebellion. As Partha Chatterjee notes, Indian nationalist discourse in colonial texts is frequently portrayed as an "imitation of European modernity rather than its authentic equal" (7). Candler's narrative exemplifies this by admiring Sri Ram's courage while questioning his political maturity. For instance, Sri Ram's revolutionary fervor is depicted as noble yet impractical: "He longed for freedom as a child longs for a mother's embrace, but the world of power was not built on longing alone" (115). Candler portrays British imperial power as morally superior and organizationally efficient, contrasting it with romanticized yet chaotic Indian resistance. This narrative strategy aligns with Said's argument that colonial texts sustain imperial hegemony by depicting colonized aspirations as admirable but ultimately reliant on Western rationality (127). Sri Ram's ultimate sacrifice—his martyrdom at the gallows—transforms political power into symbolic moral power, reinforcing the colonial trope of the "noble native" whose heroism is contained within British judicial dominance.

In "Abdication," the focus of power shifts from politics to spirituality. The protagonist, disillusioned by failed revolutionary efforts and internal betrayals, retreats into an ascetic renunciation. Candler writes, "He saw that power was but the shadow of desire, and desire itself a veil over truth" (214). This narrative arc aligns with what Ashis Nandy describes as the colonial romanticization of Indian spirituality, where renunciation is perceived not as resistance but as "withdrawal from responsibility, justifying the civilizing mission" (72). The British characters discuss his renunciation with bemused admiration, reinforcing orientalist stereotypes of India as a land of mystics rather than revolutionaries. This reflects Bhabha's concept of colonial ambivalence, wherein admiration masks containment: "The native's spiritual power is respected because it is irrelevant to the structures of colonial governance" (86).

When examined together, both these novels reveal a dialectic between power and powerlessness. In "Sri Ram," power as political rebellion is valorized for moral conviction but dismissed as strategically naive. In "Abdication," spiritual power is celebrated as profound wisdom, but stripped of political potency. Thus, Candler's duology constructs Indian agency within frameworks that ultimately reinforce imperial authority. Moreover, the protagonists' personal development—from revolutionary to ascetic—mirrors the colonial desire for Indian resistance to culminate not in independence but in self-abnegation. As Said argues, "the Orient is reconstructed, re-presented, and re-possessed by the colonial text in order to stabilize the West's sense of its own superiority" (204).

Therefore, power in Candler's novels is both thematically central and ideologically regulated, revealing the deeper structures of colonial discourse that shape the literary representations of Indian subjectivity.

4. POLITICS AND COLONIAL DISCOURSE

The intertwined themes of politics and colonial discourse in the duology illustrate the ambivalent narrative strategies characteristic of Anglo-Indian fiction, which simultaneously acknowledges Indian aspirations while reasserting colonial authority. Both novels engage with the political consciousness of colonial India, yet their portrayals reveal an overarching imperial ideology that shapes and constrains the interpretation of Indian agencies.

In "Sri Ram: Revolutionist," politics is prominently featured as a form of collective anti-colonial resistance, embodied in the revolutionary fervor of Sri Ram and his associates. The novel portrays clandestine meetings, arms smuggling, and ideological debates on freedom, capturing the ferment of early twentieth-century Indian nationalism. However, Candler frames these political aspirations within a discourse of noble futility. For instance, he writes: "They dreamed of a free India, but dreamers forget that liberty is wrought in iron before it is sung in verse" (141). This characterization romanticizes revolutionary idealism while undermining its practical implications. As Said contends, colonial narratives often depict "the native political consciousness as either infantile or fanatical, never mature or rational" (207). Candler's portrayal exemplifies this tendency, rendering Indian nationalism morally commendable but politically immature, necessitating the paternal oversight of British rule to avert chaos and violence.

"Abdication" represents politics through the lens of disillusionment and spiritual withdrawal. After witnessing betrayals, factionalism, and the failure of revolutionary methods, the protagonist abandons the political cause for spiritual renunciation. Candler writes, "He saw that kings and rebels both chained themselves to illusion, while only he who abdicated could be free" (231). Here, politics is recast as an illusion, and renunciation is framed as transcendent wisdom. Ashis Nandy critiques such representations, arguing that colonial discourse often reconfigures Indian political dissent into spiritual quietism to depoliticize it: "Renunciation becomes the acceptable face of resistance because it withdraws from structures of power without challenging them" (72). Thus, the novel transforms the revolutionary potential of Indian subjectivity into spiritual negation, reinforcing imperial ideological needs.

Both novels operate within a colonial justificatory framework that presents British rule as necessary to manage either the destructive force of revolution or the impracticality of spiritual abandonment. The British characters often discuss Indian political movements with a mixture of admiration and paternalistic dismissal, reflecting Bhabha's concept of "colonial mimicry," wherein the colonized aspire to power but remain structurally subordinated (86). For instance, a British official in "Sri Ram" muses: "He has courage, yes. But what use is courage without order, without knowledge of rule?" (198). This reinforces the imperial notion that Indian courage is admirable only within the frameworks authorized by the colonial governance.

When considered collectively, both novels illustrate that, for Candler, politics holds significance only when it affirms colonial structures or transforms into a form of spiritual abdication, devoid of political threat. As Said notes, "Colonial discourse domesticates native resistance by aestheticizing it as spiritual or heroic but never as structurally transformative" (209). Consequently, Candler's novels not only depict political struggles but also engage in colonial discursive formations that legitimize imperial power by denying Indians a sustainable model of political agency independent of British authority in the Indian subcontinent.

5. PERSONAL GROWTH AND INNER TRANSFORMATION

In the novels, Candler constructs personal growth and inner transformation as central narrative trajectories that shape his protagonists' identities within the colonial discourse. While both novels present journeys of self-discovery and moral evolution, they ultimately confine Indian subjectivity within frameworks that reinforce the imperial ideology.

In *Sri Ram: Revolutionist*, personal growth is articulated through the protagonist's transition from an idealistic student to a committed revolutionary and ultimately to a martyr. Sri Ram's journey is marked by moral awakening, as he witnesses the oppression of his people and embraces violent rebellion as the path to liberation. Candler describes this transformation: "He who yesterday recited verses of love now spoke with the voice of fire; the cause had given him a new self" (89). However, the narrative subordinates his growth to the tragic containment. His martyrdom, though heroic, is framed within the colonial moral discourse as an unnecessary sacrifice. As Bhabha observes, colonial texts often "valorise native resistance only when it is rendered as spectacle, thus neutralising its structural challenge" (87). Sri Ram's death becomes a moral lesson rather than a catalyst for political change, reinforcing the colonial notion that Indian aspirations are idealistic but impractical.

In *Abdication*, personal growth is constructed through spiritual renunciation rather than political agency. The protagonist's journey begins with revolutionary zeal similar to Sri Ram but culminates in withdrawal from worldly life. Candler writes, "He had risen above power, above desire itself; in abdicating all, he found himself whole" (249). This inner transformation aligns with what Nandy describes as the colonial celebration of Indian spirituality as a mode of depoliticization. Spiritual growth is privileged over political action, casting relinquishment as the highest form of self-realization. As Said argues, orientalist texts often transform native agency into spirituality to "contain the political within the aesthetic or mystical" (204).

In a comparative analysis, both novels depict personal growth as a journey towards self-negation within colonial frameworks. In "*Sri Ram*," personal development culminates in sacrificial death, whereas in "*Abdication*," it concludes with renunciation of worldly responsibilities. Neither narrative envisions self-realization through political empowerment or through national liberation. Furthermore, these narratives reflect what Chatterjee identifies as the colonial strategy of "dividing the domain of sovereignty and spirituality," wherein the colonized are permitted inner transformation but denied public power (130). Thus, the martyrdom of Sri Ram and the ascetic's abdication mirror each other as forms of moral heroism that leave the imperial order unchallenged.

Candler's portrayal of personal growth reinforces gendered colonial stereotypes. Both protagonists embody heroic masculinity, yet their fulfillment is defined through sacrifice or renunciation, aligning with the imperial discourse of the noble yet subordinated natives. As Bhabha asserts, such representations "locate native subjectivity within the ambivalent structure of colonial desire and disavowal" (88). Ultimately, Candler's duology frames personal growth as an inward journey culminating in powerlessness, aestheticizing Indian subjectivity while denying it a transformative agency within the political sphere.

6. NARRATIVE STRATEGIES AND REPRESENTATION

Candler's '*Sri Ram*' novels employ distinct narrative strategies that shape their representation of Indian subjectivity, politics, and spirituality within the colonial framework. The novels' narrative voice, perspective, and aesthetic choices reveal how colonial literature constructs and contains Indian agency.

Both novels are narrated from an omniscient third-person perspective, aligned with the British authorial gaze. This narrative stance grants the narrator epistemic authority over Indian characters' inner lives, politics, and cultural practices. As Said argues, the colonial narrator's "positional superiority" constructs knowledge about the colonized while denying them narrative autonomy (7). In "*Sri Ram: Revolutionist*," this is evident in the narrator's interpretive control over Sri Ram's revolutionary motivations. For instance, Candler writes, "He believed he fought for liberty, but it was the intoxication of sacrifice that called him most" (174). Such statements undermine the protagonist's political consciousness, reinterpreting it as an emotional impulse rather than a rational agency. Similarly, in "*Abdication*," the narrative voice frames the protagonist's renunciation as a universal spiritual truth rather than an individual choice conditioned by colonial oppression: "In the end, all power was bondage; only abdication was free" (238). The narrator's authoritative voice universalizes abdication as culturally authentic, foreclosing political interpretations.

Candler's descriptive passages, informed by his background as a journalist and travel writer, depict Indian settings in ethnographic detail. While these descriptions lend authenticity, they also exoticize the Indian landscapes and rituals. Nandy critiques such representations for transforming Indian culture into an aesthetic spectacle that distances it from political modernity (64). For instance, in "*Abdication*," the ascetic's retreat to the Himalayas is depicted through orientalist imagery: "The white silence of the peaks received him, a son returning to his mother's womb" (252). This portrayal naturalizes renunciation as an Indian destiny, reinforcing the stereotype of mysticism over political engagement.

Both novels adopt a sympathetic yet paternalistic tone towards their protagonists. Bhabha describes such a tone as producing “colonial ambivalence: admiration intertwined with containment” (86). In “Sri Ram,” the narrator admires his courage but dismisses his revolutionary goals as impractical. In “Abdication,” the narrator reveres divine relinquishment but frames it as a renunciation of responsibility.

Candler’s literary style combines journalistic clarity and orientalist romanticism. The vivid realism of urban revolutionary meetings contrasts with the lyrical mysticism of the Himalayan renunciation. This dual stylistic strategy supports what Said calls the “aestheticisation of the Orient” (205), where political resistance is narrated in realist modes and spirituality in poetic language, thereby containing Indian power within colonial discursive binaries. Finally, Candler’s narrative strategies produce Indian subjectivity as intelligible only through colonial frames: the noble revolutionary and the mystical renouncer are both legible identities within British imperial discourse but lack the narrative agency to redefine themselves. Chatterjee notes that colonial texts “grant the inner domain of identity while denying the outer domain of power” (130), a strategy visible across both novels. Thus, Candler’s narrative strategies—omniscient narration, ethnographic description, orientalist aesthetics, and an ambivalent tone—construct representations of Indian subjectivity that aestheticize personal growth while foreclosing political transformation, reinforcing the ideological objectives of colonial literature.

7. POWER, POLITICS, AND PERSONAL GROWTH: INTERCONNECTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

In the duology, Candler constructs an intricate interplay between power, politics, and personal growth, revealing the underlying colonial discourse that shapes these interconnections. By comparatively examining these themes, the ideological work of Candler’s duology becomes visible, highlighting how Anglo-Indian literature simultaneously aestheticizes and depoliticizes Indian agency.

In “Sri Ram: Revolutionist,” power is intricately linked to political struggle, with Sri Ram’s personal development articulated through his revolutionary commitment. His decision to embrace martyrdom exemplifies moral courage, but it is portrayed as politically ineffectual. As Candler writes, “He went to the gallows with the serenity of a god, though gods too are powerless before fate” (219). This portrayal aligns with Said’s argument that colonial texts transform native resistance into a moral spectacle, thereby diminishing its political potency (209). In contrast, “Abdication” reinterprets power as spiritual renunciation, with the protagonist’s personal development culminating in the renunciation of all worldly ties. This narrative shift reflects the colonial romanticization of Indian spirituality as a domain disconnected from political modernity. Nandy argues that such representations “neutralize dissent by relocating it within mystical transcendence rather than structural transformation” (74).

Both novels construct personal growth as a journey towards self-negation rather than self-empowerment. In “Sri Ram,” growth concludes with sacrificial death, while in “Abdication,” it concludes with withdrawal from social and political life. Chatterjee notes that colonial narratives often grant colonized subjects moral or spiritual superiority while denying them political agency: “The inner domain is granted to the colonized as long as the outer domain remains under imperial control” (130).

The interconnection of power, politics, and personal growth in these texts reveals a unifying, colonial logic. The novels present two possible trajectories for Indian subjectivity under imperial rule: heroic sacrifice valorized but politically neutralized. Spiritual resignation celebrated but depoliticizing. This binary reflects what Bhabha terms “colonial ambivalence,” where admiration for the native is intertwined with structural subordination (86). The protagonists’ personal growth is meaningful only when it aligns with the colonial expectations of the Indian identity as mystical, sacrificial, or apolitical.

Candler’s duology demonstrates how Anglo-Indian literature constructs Indian subjectivity within frames that stabilize imperial authority. As Said asserts, “The Orient is not only represented as what it is but also as what it should be, according to the imperatives of the West” (108). Both novels produce Indian protagonists whose self-realization ultimately validates British governance by denying viable political alternatives to it.

The study of power, politics, and personal growth in these texts remains relevant to contemporary postcolonial discourse. This reveals how literary representations continue to shape perceptions of Indian identity, spirituality, and political capability in global imaginaries. The interconnections between power, politics, and personal growth in both the novels demonstrate that Candler’s narratives, while portraying Indian heroism and spirituality, ultimately function as colonial texts that aestheticize resistance and abdication to reinforce imperial hegemony.

8. CONCLUSION

This study examined Candler's Sri Ram novels as a thematic duology that intricately interlaces power, politics, and personal development within a colonial context. Through a comparative analysis, it illustrates how Candler portrays revolutionary fervor and spiritual renunciation as the viable paths for protagonists. The depiction of power in first novel celebrates moral courage while implying that political rebellion is a futile endeavor. In second novel, power becomes introspective. It transforms disillusionment from violence into spiritual quietism. Candler's narrative techniques—omniscient narration, orientalist aesthetics, and a sympathetic yet paternalistic tone—reinforce this containment. He frames Indian subjectivity as either sacrificial or mystical, but never as fully transformative. This lack of farsightedness indicates a limitation of his vision as a writer who could not grasp the political scenario unfolding in front of him. His belief rested in the idea that Indians though spiritually superior could not run the country without colonial powers.

By connecting these themes, this paper demonstrates that Candler's works do not merely reflect colonial anxieties but actively contribute to shaping the imperial discourse of that era. He imagines Indian agency as morally commendable yet politically subordinate. Such narratives stabilize colonial hegemony by granting the colonized an "inner domain" of identity while denying them genuine political power. A renewed critical engagement with lesser-known writer like Candler is thus essential for understanding how colonial fiction shaped the literary imagination of India's political and spiritual future. It is a discourse that continues to influence postcolonial interpretations of power and identity across many erstwhile colonies even today.

REFERENCES

- [1.] Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. London: Routledge, 1994.
- [2.] Candler, Edmund. *Abdication*. London: John Murray, 1922.
- [3.] Candler, Edmund. *Sri Ram: Revolutionist*. London: John Murray, 1920.
- [4.] Chatterjee, Partha. *The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories*. Princeton: Princeton UP, 1993.
- [5.] Chaudhuri, Rosinka. "Writing India, Writing English: Literature, Nationhood and Identity." *Social Scientist*, vol. 20, no. 9/10, 1992, pp. 43-58.
- [6.] Ghosh, Amitav. *In an Antique Land*. Penguin, 2004.
- [7.] Hoskins, Gareth. "Empire's Eyes: Edmund Candler and Colonial Travel Writing." *Journal of Historical Geography*, vol. 31, no. 2, 2005, pp. 363–367.
- [8.] Nandy, Ashis. *The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self under Colonialism*. Delhi: Oxford UP, 1983.
- [9.] Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1978.